

Formation of a Kerr black hole from two stringy NUT objects

V. S. Manko,^{*} E. D. Rodchenko,[†] E. Ruiz[‡] and M. B. Sadovnikova[†]

^{}Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN,
A.P. 14-740, 07000 México D.F., Mexico*

*[†]Department of Quantum Statistics and Field Theory,
Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119899, Russia*

*[‡]Instituto Universitario de Física Fundamental y Matemáticas,
Universidad de Salamanca, 37008 Salamanca, Spain*

Abstract

In this paper we show that an isolated Kerr black hole can be interpreted as arising from a pair of identical counter-rotating NUT objects placed on the symmetry axis at an appropriate distance from each other.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 04.70.Bw, 97.60.Lf

As has been recently shown [1], the well-known NUT solution [2] represents a stringy object with two counter-rotating semi-infinite *massive* singularities attached to the poles of a central non-rotating mass. This solution is the simplest possible one among the equatorially antisymmetric spacetimes the notion of which has been introduced in [3]. Although the usual NUT object is endowed with some unphysical properties, e.g., the presence of the regions with negative mass and closed time-like curves, in the present paper we will demonstrate that configurations of several NUT constituents can give rise to physically significant models without the pathologies of a single NUT spacetime. Concretely, we shall consider a simple but convincing example: emergence of a Kerr black hole from two interacting NUT objects. The consideration will be carried out within the framework of an exact solution describing a non-linear superposition of two NUT sources.

We remind that the stationary axisymmetric vacuum problem reduces to finding the complex function $\mathcal{E}$ satisfying the Ernst equation [4]

$$(\mathcal{E} + \bar{\mathcal{E}})(\mathcal{E}_{,\rho,\rho} + \rho^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{,\rho} + \mathcal{E}_{,z,z}) = 2(\mathcal{E}_{,\rho}^2 + \mathcal{E}_{,z}^2), \quad (1)$$

where ρ and z are the Weyl-Papapetrou cylindrical coordinates, a comma denotes partial differentiation with respect to the coordinate that follows it, and a bar over a symbol means complex conjugation. Using Sibgatullin's method [5], the potential $\mathcal{E}$ satisfying (1) can be constructed from its value on the upper part of the symmetry axis. For $z > \sqrt{m^2 + \nu^2}$, the Ernst complex potential of a single NUT solution has the form [1]

$$e(z) \equiv \mathcal{E}(\rho = 0, z) = \frac{z - m - i\nu}{z + m + i\nu}, \quad (2)$$

where m is the total mass of the stringy NUT object, while ν represents the average angular momentum per unit length of the semi-infinite NUT singularity. The non-linear superposition of two NUT solutions with equal masses and opposite angular momenta is formally defined by the axis data

$$e(z) = \frac{z - k - m - i\nu}{z - k + m + i\nu} \cdot \frac{z + k - m + i\nu}{z + k + m - i\nu}, \quad (3)$$

the real parameter k representing a displacement of each NUT constituent along the z -axis. We point out that whereas the axis data (2) define the equatorially antisymmetric solution because of the characteristic relation $e(z)e(-z) = 1$ fulfilled in this case [3], the axis data (3) satisfy the relation $e(z)\bar{e}(-z) = 1$ and hence define already the *equatorially symmetric* spacetime [6, 7].

Below we give the final form of the Ernst potential $\mathcal{E}$ constructed from the data (3) with the aid of Sibgatullin's method, and the form of all the corresponding metric functions f , γ and ω from the Papapetrou stationary axisymmetric line element

$$ds^2 = f^{-1}[e^{2\gamma}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\varphi^2] - f(dt - \omega d\varphi)^2, \quad (4)$$

omitting the intermediate steps (we refer the reader to the paper [8] for details):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \frac{A - B}{A + B}, \quad f = \frac{A\bar{A} - B\bar{B}}{(A + B)(\bar{A} + \bar{B})}, \quad e^{2\gamma} = \frac{A\bar{A} - B\bar{B}}{64d^4\alpha_+^2\alpha_-^2 R_+ R_- r_+ r_-}, \\ \omega &= -\frac{4\text{Im}[G(\bar{A} + \bar{B})]}{A\bar{A} - B\bar{B}}, \\ A &= [(m^2 + \nu^2)(k^2 - m^2)(k^2 - m^2 - \nu^2) - 2m^2 k^2 \nu^2](R_+ - R_-)(r_+ - r_-) \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_+ \alpha_- (m^2 + \nu^2)(m^2 - k^2)(R_+ R_- + r_+ r_-) \\ &\quad - \alpha_+ \alpha_- [2m^4 + (m^2 + \nu^2)(k^2 - m^2)](R_+ + R_-)(r_+ + r_-) \\ &\quad - 2imk\nu d[(\alpha_+ - \alpha_-)(R_+ r_+ - R_- r_-) - (\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)(R_+ r_- - R_- r_+)], \\ B &= 4d\{m\alpha_+ \alpha_- [(m^2 - d)(R_+ + R_-) - (m^2 + d)(r_+ + r_-)] \\ &\quad + ik\nu[\alpha_- (m^2 - d)(R_+ - R_-) - \alpha_+ (m^2 + d)(r_+ - r_-)]\}, \\ G &= d[d^2 + m^2(m^2 + 2ik\nu)][(\alpha_+ - \alpha_-)(R_- r_- - R_+ r_+) + (\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)(R_+ r_- - R_- r_+)] \\ &\quad - 2m^2 d^2[(\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)(R_- r_- - R_+ r_+) + (\alpha_+ - \alpha_-)(R_+ r_- - R_- r_+)] \\ &\quad - m\alpha_+ \alpha_- (d^2 + m^4)(R_+ + R_-)(r_+ + r_-) + m[kd^2(k + 4i\nu) - (2k^2 - m^2)(m^2 + \nu^2)^2 \\ &\quad + k^2 \nu^4](R_+ - R_-)(r_+ - r_-) - 2m\alpha_+ \alpha_- (k^2 - m^2)(m^2 + \nu^2)(R_+ R_- + r_+ r_-) \\ &\quad - 2dz\{\alpha_- (m^2 - d)[m\alpha_+(R_+ + R_-) + ik\nu(R_+ - R_-)] \\ &\quad - \alpha_+ (m^2 + d)[m\alpha_-(r_+ + r_-) + ik\nu(r_+ - r_-)]\} \\ &\quad + 2d\alpha_+ \alpha_- (2m^2 + ik\nu)[m^2(R_+ + R_- - r_+ - r_-) - d(R_+ + R_- + r_+ + r_-)] \\ &\quad + 2md[d^2 - m^4 - ik\nu(2m^2 + ik\nu)][\alpha_-(R_- - R_+) + \alpha_+(r_+ - r_-)] \\ &\quad - 2md^2(m^2 - k^2 + \nu^2 - 2ik\nu)[\alpha_-(R_- - R_+) + \alpha_+(r_- - r_+)], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

$$R_{\pm} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z \pm \alpha_+)^2}, \quad r_{\pm} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z \pm \alpha_-)^2}, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \sqrt{m^2 + k^2 - \nu^2 \pm 2d}, \quad d = \sqrt{m^2 k^2 + \nu^2(k^2 - m^2)}. \quad (7)$$

The metric obtained is asymptotically flat and it describes a spinning body with the total mass $2m$, total angular momentum $2k\nu$ and mass-quadrupole moment $2m(k^2 - m^2 - \nu^2)$. Since the well-known Kerr solution [9] is characterized by the parameters m and a (total mass and angular momentum per unit mass, respectively, the mass-quadrupole moment of the source being equal to $-ma^2$), one could suppose that in the particular case $k = m$ the metric (5) reduces to the Kerr solution with the total mass $2m$ and total angular momentum per unit mass ν . To see whether this supposition is true, let us put $k = m$ in (5) and (7). Then we get

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \sqrt{2m^2 - \nu^2 \pm 2m^2}, \quad d = m^2, \quad (8)$$

and the expressions for A , B and G take the form

$$\begin{aligned} A &= [(\alpha_+ - i\nu)R_+ + (\alpha_+ + i\nu)R_-]F, \\ B &= 4m\alpha_+F, \\ G &= m[(\alpha_+ - 2m - i\nu)R_+ + (\alpha_+ + 2m + i\nu)R_- + 2\alpha_+(2m + i\nu - z)]F, \\ F &= -2m^4[(\alpha_- + i\nu)r_+ + (\alpha_- - i\nu)r_-], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

F being the common factor. Cancelling out F in the Ernst potential and in the metric functions, we arrive, after the introduction of spheroidal coordinates

$$x = \frac{1}{2\alpha_+}(R_+ + R_-), \quad y = \frac{1}{2\alpha_+}(R_+ - R_-), \quad (10)$$

at the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \frac{\alpha_+x - i\nu y - 2m}{\alpha_+x - i\nu y + 2m}, \quad f = \frac{\alpha_+^2x^2 + \nu^2y^2 - 4m^2}{(\alpha_+x + 2m)^2 + \nu^2y^2}, \\ e^{2\gamma} &= \frac{\alpha_+^2x^2 + \nu^2y^2 - 4m^2}{\alpha_+^2(x^2 - y^2)}, \quad \omega = -\frac{4m\nu(\alpha_+x + 2m)(1 - y^2)}{\alpha_+^2x^2 + \nu^2y^2 - 4m^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Introducing now the constants

$$p = \frac{\alpha_+}{2m}, \quad q = \frac{\nu}{2m}, \quad p^2 + q^2 = 1, \quad (12)$$

we rewrite (11) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \frac{px - iqy - 1}{px - iqy + 1}, \quad f = \frac{p^2x^2 + q^2y^2 - 1}{(px + 1)^2 + q^2y^2}, \\ e^{2\gamma} &= \frac{p^2x^2 + q^2y^2 - 1}{p^2(x^2 - y^2)}, \quad \omega = -\frac{2\alpha_+q(px + 1)(1 - y^2)}{p(p^2x^2 + q^2y^2 - 1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which is one of the standard representations of the Kerr solution [4, 10].

Therefore, we have demonstrated that a specific non-linear superposition of two NUT solutions can give rise to the Kerr spacetime. We hope that a future investigation will be able to reveal that this result is not simply a mathematical curiosity but could have a solid physical nature.

This work was partially supported by Project 45946-F from CONACyT, Mexico, by Project FIS2006-05319 from MCyT, Spain, and by Project SA010C05 from Junta de Castilla y León, Spain.

-
- [1] V. S. Manko and E. Ruiz, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22**, 3555 (2005).
 - [2] E. Newman, L. Tamburino and T. Unti, *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 915 (1963).
 - [3] F. J. Ernst, V. S. Manko and E. Ruiz, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23**, 4945 (2006).
 - [4] F. J. Ernst, *Phys. Rev.* **167**, 1175 (1968).
 - [5] N. R. Sibgatullin, *Oscillations and Waves in Strong Gravitational and Electromagnetic Fields* (Nauka, Moscow, 1984) [English translation (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991)]; V. S. Manko and N. R. Sibgatullin, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **10**, 1383 (1993).
 - [6] P. Kordas, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12**, 2037 (1995).
 - [7] R. Meinel and G. Neugebauer, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12**, 2045 (1995).
 - [8] V. S. Manko and E. Ruiz, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15**, 2007 (1998).
 - [9] R. P. Kerr, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **11**, 237 (1963).
 - [10] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, M. A. H. MacCallum and E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1980).